



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/747,852

12/22/2000

James R. Patterson

A-5378

3665

2147

7590

09/27/2004

GRACE J FISHEL  
11970 BORMAN DRIVE  
SUITE 220  
ST. LOUIS, MO 63146

EXAMINER

REIS, TRAVIS M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2859

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/747,852

Applicant(s)

PATTERSON, JAMES R.

Examiner

Travis M Reis

Art Unit

2859

**All Participants:**(1) Travis M Reis.(2) Edward Renner.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 22 September 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
Diego Gutierrez  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's attorney that an appeal brief has been noted as filed and is currently being processed; however, an appeal brief is not a proper response since the only remaining issue in the case is the objection noted in the prior Quayle action. It appears that applicant filed the appeal brief based on paragraph 1 of the the Prior Quayle action in which the Examiner incorrectly gave applicant the option of filing an Appeal Brief. Accordingly, once the Appeal Brief is processed, the examiner will send applicant a Notice of Non-responsive Amendment, setting a one month time period to respond the Quayle action. The applicant needs to file a petition for reconsideration of the objection detailing the reasons why the objection has been overcome, i.e. citing the page and line number where the "means for accessing the true status of the frame" are located or by amending the specification to include said means.